UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/536,472	05/25/2005	Pascal Castro	17198/004001	6920
22511 75 OSHA LIANG L	90 01/22/2007		EXAMINER	
1221 MCKINNEY STREET			LIVEDALEN, BRIAN J	
SUITE 2800 HOUSTON, TX 77010			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2878	
			01/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/536,472	CASTRO, PASCAL
Examiner	Art Unit
Brian J. Livedalen	2878

The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence add	dress
THE REPLY FILED <u>28 December 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) i ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply	affidavit, or other evide in compliance with 37 C	nce, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date	-		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	later than SIX MONTHS from the ma	iling date of the final reject	tion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	'06.07(f).		·
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of expunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	stension and the corresponding amou shortened statutory period for reply o than three months after the mailing	int of the fee. The approp originally set in the final Off	riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal of the	hs of the date of he appeal. Since
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			pecause
(a) X They raise new issues that would require further co		NOTE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	• •		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		rejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))			
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			<u>.</u>
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: <u>5,6,9,10,13,14 and 20-22</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,</u> 7, 8, 11, 12, 15-19, and 23-27.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa</li> </ol>	overcome all rejections under ap	peal and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	A daga NOT also a Mercanica Maria	- :diti for allows	anno honouno:
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the applicatio	in in condition for allowa	ance because.
<ol> <li>Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> <li>Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>.</li> </ol>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	_	
,			

Continuation of 13. Other: The limitation: "in the water circuit cooling the internal combustion engine" changes the scope of the claims by putting the claims in the context of an internal combustion engine. This new limitation requires a new search and further consideration. Accordingly, this advisory action is proper.

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800